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Abstract

We developed a lead zirconate titanate (PZT) thin film actuator integrated with buried piezoresistors for the dynamic and static deformation sensing of a PZT MEMS actuator. We demonstrated the fabrication of sol-gel deposited PZT thin film devices combined with buried piezoresistors and proved, for the first time, the process compatibility of these materials. Dopant concentration measured by secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) analysis confirms that the piezoresistor was successfully buried into the device. Motion detection of the fabricated MEMS cantilever actuated by the PZT thin film was successful and consistent with optical measurement as well as design values. From these results, we can conclude that our PZT actuator and piezoresistive sensors can be monolithically integrated. The fabrication process developed here can be used for high-stability piezoelectric MEMS actuators with feed-back control of position.
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1. Introduction

Lead zirconate titanate (PZT) is a popular material for piezoelectric MEMS and very competitive when voltage signal, force or power output is demanded [1]. The largest group in the piezoelectric device market are actuators/piezo-generators and is expected to expand rapidly in the near future [2].

The most successful piezoelectric actuators so far are the ink-jet printer heads, commercialized in the early 2000s and now considered as the standard technology. The advantages of piezoelectric actuation such as large force potential, high energy conversion efficiency, high energy density and fast dynamic response time have motivated continuous efforts to develop actuators for a wide range of applications. These include piezoelectric micro-motors [3], micro-pumps [4], radio frequency (RF) MEMS switches [5–8], acoustic transducers [9–13] and others. For thin film PZT MEMS loudspeakers, several patents have been granted to USound GmbH [14–16].

Several piezoelectric MEMS actuators for optical devices have been also developed, such as focus lens [17, 18] and scanning micromirrors [19–21]. Kanno [22] identified the deposition of high-quality film as one of the core technologies of piezoelectric MEMS. Indeed, companies such as Stanley Electric and FUJIFILM have developed PZT-based micromirrors achieving good performance by novel fabrication techniques [23] and additional impurities [24]. These devices have PZT-based sensors installed.

However, it is difficult to keep a static angle by this configuration, which is required for some applications. Also, as
Holström et al [25] indicated, achieving linear scanning and precision for high performance displays is quite challenging in piezoelectric devices. Moreover, the degradation of PZT thin film’s properties by repeated actuation, often called ‘electrical fatigue’, has been reported by several groups such as Lou and Wang [26] and Mazzalai et al [27]. This phenomenon leads to poor long-term reliability and constitutes an obstacle when developing devices for commercial use.

Bell et al [28] observed that piezoresistive sensors have good resolution, dynamic range and response frequency compared to other MEMS sensors. Therefore, applying feedback control using silicon piezoresistive sensors is a potential solution. Sasaki et al [29] developed an integrated piezoresistive rotation angle sensor for feedback control of an electrostatic micromirror device. Yalcinkaya et al [30] developed an electromagnetic MEMS mirror with an integrated piezoresistive position sensor, which was successfully commercialized by MicroVision Inc. However, there is no detailed report about the integration of a PZT actuator and piezoresistive sensors.

In this paper, we propose a fabrication process to monolithically integrate the PZT actuator and the piezoresistive deformation sensor for the first time. Figure 1 shows the design concept of the device. The integration of the actuator and the sensor is a key for the proposed actuator system, in which the integrated sensor measures the displacement during actuation and the detected position signal is used for the feedback control to compensate the instability and non-linearity of the PZT thin film.

2. Design

To simplify the evaluation, a cantilever structure was chosen for the device. The piezoelectric actuator and piezoresistive sensors are monolithically integrated in the cantilever.

2.1. Working principle

Figure 2 shows the schematic of the PZT/piezoresistor device. A thin film of sol-gel PZT actuates the cantilever. For displacement sensing we chose the buried piezoresistive sensor developed by Esashi et al [31] because of its high stability and small footprint. This sensor’s characteristic feature is that a thin layer of n-type Si covers the resistor, which lies deep into the substrate, minimizing the negative effects of impurities in the surface. The buried piezoresistor has been used for sensing [32], however this is the first report on it combined with piezoelectric actuators.

There are four buried piezoresistors in the device to form a Wheatstone bridge. Two piezoresistors are placed at the root of the cantilever, \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \), while the other two, \( R_3 \) and \( R_4 \), are away from the cantilever. The PZT thin film was placed on the cantilever.

Resistivity changes (\( \Delta R/R \)) in piezoresistors can be modeled as

\[
\frac{\Delta R}{R} = \frac{1}{2}(\pi_{11} + \pi_{12} + \pi_{44})\sigma, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \pi_{11}, \pi_{12} \) and \( \pi_{44} \) are the piezoresistive constants and \( \sigma \) the applied stress.

When the voltage is applied to the PZT film, the cantilever deforms. Only \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) will be subjected to stress during actuation and its magnitude is assumed to be the same for both, so the output voltage from the Wheatstone bridge becomes

\[
V_{\text{out}} = \left( \frac{0.5(\pi_{11} + \pi_{12} + \pi_{44})\sigma}{2 + 0.5(\pi_{11} + \pi_{12} + \pi_{44})\sigma} \right) G V_{\text{bias}}, \tag{2}
\]

where \( G \) is the amplification gain and \( V_{\text{bias}} \) is the input voltage of the Wheatstone bridge.

Note that the output (\( V_{\text{out}} \)) is expected to be very small without electronic amplification, as it will always be a fraction of \( V_{\text{bias}} \). Some cantilever parameters and material properties used for design are shown in table 1.

2.2. Sensitivity

To estimate the scale factor of the sensors, one way is to calculate it analytically. Since the structure is a simple beam with one end fixed and the other free, the maximum stress at the fixed end by bending can be written as

\[
\sigma_{\text{max}} = \frac{3Eh}{2L^2}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( E, L, h \) and \( z \) are the Young’s modulus, the cantilever’s length, the beam thickness and the displacement at free end, respectively. Substituting \( \sigma \) from equation (3) into equation (2) and taking \( V_{\text{bias}} = 1 \text{ V}, z = 1 \mu\text{m} \) and gain \( G = 1 \), theoretical sensitivity becomes 0.158 mV/\mu m.”

To account for the geometry and location of the piezoresistors, correction factors such as the ones used by Tsukamoto et al [32] for depth (\( C_{\text{depth}} \)) and length (\( C_{\text{length}} \)) was considered as

\[
C_{\text{depth}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{avg,d}}}{\sigma_{\text{max}}},
\]

\[
C_{\text{length}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{avg,l}}}{\sigma_{\text{max}}},
\]

Figure 1. Design concept for PZT stable actuator. (a) Rest position, (b) actuation with feedback control system.
Table 1. Device’s design parameters and material properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cantilever length</td>
<td>( L )</td>
<td>(1.5 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>[m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantilever width (base)</td>
<td>( w_b )</td>
<td>(6 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>[m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantilever width (end)</td>
<td>( w )</td>
<td>(4 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>[m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantilever thickness</td>
<td>( h )</td>
<td>(1 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>[m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s modulus (Si)</td>
<td>( E )</td>
<td>(1.7 \times 10^{11} )</td>
<td>[Pa]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piezoresistor length</td>
<td>( l_p )</td>
<td>(1.2 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>[m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piezoresistor minimum depth</td>
<td>( d_1 )</td>
<td>(5 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>[m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piezoresistor maximum depth</td>
<td>( d_2 )</td>
<td>(2 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>[m]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal piezoresistive coef.</td>
<td>( \pi_{11} + \pi_{12} + \pi_{44} )</td>
<td>(0.5 \times 10^{-10} )</td>
<td>[Pa(^{-1})]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PZT actuator thickness</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>[m]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\frac{d_2}{d_1 - d_1} = 1 - \frac{d_1 + d_2}{h} \tag{4}
\]

and

\[
C_{\text{length}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{avg}}}{\sigma_{\text{max}}} = \frac{\int_0^1 \left(1 - \frac{2x}{L} \right)dx}{l_p} \tag{5}
\]

\[
= 1 - \frac{l_p}{L} \tag{6}
\]

where \(d_1\), \(d_2\) and \(l_p\) are the buried piezoresistor minimum depth, maximum depth and length respectively. By multiplying the maximum theoretical output by \(C_{\text{depth}}\) and \(C_{\text{length}}\), the estimated sensitivity becomes \(0.109\, \text{mV \, \mu m}^{-1}\).

Table 2. Buried piezoresistor fabrication details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First implantation (B)</td>
<td>(3 \times 10^{14}/\text{cm}^2) - 80 keV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annealing</td>
<td>4 hours at 1100 °C in N(_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second implantation (B)</td>
<td>(2.5 \times 10^{13}/\text{cm}^2) - 40 keV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second implantation (P)</td>
<td>(3 \times 10^{15}/\text{cm}^2) - 100 keV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third implantation (P)</td>
<td>(1 \times 10^{16}/\text{cm}^2) - 100 keV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid thermal annealing</td>
<td>30 s at 1000 °C in N(_2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where \(d_1\), \(d_2\) and \(l_p\) are the buried piezoresistor minimum depth, maximum depth and length respectively. By multiplying the maximum theoretical output by \(C_{\text{depth}}\) and \(C_{\text{length}}\), the estimated sensitivity becomes \(0.109\, \text{mV \, \mu m}^{-1}\).

To obtain a more accurate value, finite element analysis (FEA) was used. COMSOL was used as the solver. Figure 3 shows the static analysis of the model, where the metal electrodes were not modeled. The voltage is applied to the PZT film so that the tip displacement of the cantilever becomes 1 \(\mu\)m. The stress tensor in y direction was measured at the position of piezoresistors. The average stress at the sensor is 0.208 MPa. By replacing this stress in equation (4), the estimated sensitivity becomes \(0.0365\, \text{mV \, \mu m}^{-1}\).

The resonant frequency, corresponding to the beam bending up and down, is found at 6.768 kHz according to modal analysis.

3. Fabrication

Figure 4 shows the proposed fabrication process. The device was fabricated on a SOI wafer, of which the thickness, crystal direction, doping type and resistivity of the device layer are 10 \(\mu\)m, \(< 1 \times 0 >\), N-type and 3 \(\sim 5 \Omega \cdot \text{cm}\), respectively.

First, the buried piezoresistors were formed. Dry thermal oxidation was done to grow a thin oxide film of about 40 nm thickness (figure 4(a)) and then the buried piezoresistors were made by ion implantation in three steps. First implantation was light boron doping for the piezoresistors followed by annealing to drive in the dopants deeper into the substrate (figure 4(b)). Second implantation was heavy boron doping with split dose/energy for electrical contact and wiring (figure 4(c)). And last was phosphorus implantation (to make a shallow n-type layer to bury the sensors) followed by rapid thermal annealing to activate the dopants (figure 4(d)) [32]. Detailed conditions are shown in table 2.

Then the piezoelectric actuator was formed. A Pt thin film was deposited by sputtering at high temperature (600 °C) to form the bottom electrode for the PZT film. One micron of PZT thin film was deposited by the sol-gel method (figure 4(e)), similar to the process used by Moriyama et al [36], except that Ti was used as an adhesion layer instead of annealing the Pt film. PZT and Pt thin films were patterned by wet etching and ion beam milling (figure 4(f)), respectively. The SiO\(_2\) film was patterned by wet etching using a buffered hydrofluoric acid (BHF) solution to make vias for the sensors (figure 4(g)). Then Au was deposited by vacuum evaporation and patterned by lift-off process to make the top electrode and contact pads.

Device layer Si was etched by DRIE from the topside to define the shape of cantilever (figure 4(h)). Finally, the handle layer and the buried oxide layer were etched by DRIE and CCP-RIE, respectively, to release the structure (figure 4(i)). The finished device is shown in figure 5. After packaging and wiring, the PZT film was poled for 5 minutes at 120 °C and 5 V.

4. Evaluation

The concentrations of dopants were confirmed by secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) analysis. Figure 6 shows the measured concentrations of boron and phosphorus at the buried piezoresistor. The concentrations were well consistent with the theory. Near the surface, at less
than 200 nm depth, phosphorus is a major dopant. On the other hand, boron is distributed to the deeper area. Thus the p-type region was successfully buried in the Si layer.

The dynamic response of the device was measured by both laser Doppler velocimeter (LDV, MSA-500, Polytec GmBH, Germany) and the integrated piezoresistors. The actuation voltage to the PZT film was $1 \text{ V}_{\text{p-p}}$ for both cases. Figure 7(a) shows the resistance of the piezoresistors for several chips, measured by multimeter at rest condition. All piezoresistors should have been equal but significant mismatch in resistance was observed, likely due to fabrication error during the ion implantation stages. To compensate for this difference, the experimental setup shown in figure 7(b) was used. Piezoresistors $R_3$ and $R_4$ were replaced by external variable resistors $eR_3$ and $eR_4$ matching the values of $R_1$ and $R_2$, respectively. An actuation signal was generated from a lock-in amplifier (UHFLI, Zurich Instruments, Switzerland). The output signal from the bridge circuit was measured by the lock-in amplifier. The bias voltage to the bridge circuit, $V_{\text{bias}}$, was 10 V.

Figure 8(a) shows the LDV measurement result. The amplitude and phase of the oscillation at a point about 300 $\mu$m away from the fixed end was recorded. Figure 8(b) shows the output signal from the fabricated piezoresistors. The same resonance peak at 6.306 kHz was successfully observed and is consistent with the FEM simulation result of 6.768 kHz, proving that our buried piezoresistor could correctly detect the motion of the MEMS structure. Q-factors calculated from these measurements are $Q_{\text{LDV}} = 175.47$ and $Q_{\text{output}} = 173.99$.

Figure 9 shows the relationship between cantilever displacement and sensor output. The PZT actuator was driven at a constant frequency of 9 kHz, i.e. non-resonance. The voltage applied to the PZT actuator ranged from $1 \text{ V}_{\text{p-p}}$ to $10 \text{ V}_{\text{p-p}}$. The displacement was measured by LDV. The expected values from the simple analytical model and FEA simulation are
Figure 4. Fabrication process. First, buried piezoresistor implantation by (a) thermal oxidation of SOI wafer, (b) B light doping and drive-in annealing, (c) B heavy doping for electrical connection, (d) P implantation and rapid thermal annealing (RTA). Then actuator and structure formation by (e) deposition of Pt/Ti bottom electrode and PZT thin film, (f) etching of PZT (wet) and Pt/Ti (ion beam milling), (g) wet etching of SiO$_2$, (h) Au/Cr deposition by evaporation (top electrode and contact pads), patterned by lift-off and device layer Si etching by DRIE. Finally, structure release by (i) handle layer and buried oxide etching by DRIE and CCP-RIE.

5. Discussion

If precise position sensors can be monolithically integrated in a high-performance piezoelectric actuator, the long-term instability of the material can be compensated by the feedback control. With this idea in mind, the device presented in this work was designed along with the fabrication process to evaluate how both technologies (piezoresistive sensors and sol-gel PZT thin film) interact with each other (or not) during fabrication and operation. The test device design was kept as simple as possible to facilitate performance evaluation. The integrated piezoresistive sensors can certainly be optimized to improve sensitivity without requiring huge amplification or input voltage, but the obtained data satisfies the objective of proving compatibility between the sensors and actuator.

While we have not studied the effects that PZT integration could have on the well-known long-term stability of silicon piezoresistors, we think it unlikely. Unwanted diffusion from the PZT actuator is the major threat to the long-term stability of the sensors. Diffusion barriers combining SiO$_2$ and SiN$_x$ are commonly used to protect piezoresistors from surface impurities and humidity, but the buried piezoresistor has its p-n junction too deep to be affected by natural diffusion at low temperatures.

The fabrication process presented here was developed to monolithically integrate buried piezoresistor and sol-gel PZT processes together, that had already been optimized independently in previous studies. For the PZT sol-gel deposition, thermally grown SiO$_2$ is preferred, rather than SiO$_2$
Figure 5. Finished cantilever test device. (a) Picture by optical microscope. (b) SEM picture. (c) Cross section on actuator area. (d) Close-up of piezoelectric stack cross section.

Figure 6. Dopant concentration profile on buried piezoresistor by SIMS analysis.

Figure 7. Buried piezoresistor evaluation. (a) Measured resistance of the four on-chip piezoresistors by multimeter for 5 different chips. (b) Experimental setup for sensor evaluation, showing the modified Wheatstone bridge to overcome the resistance mismatch between the on-chip piezoresistors.
and SiNx grown by CVD. However, the piezoresistors cannot stand for the high temperature during the thermal oxidation. Therefore, the thermal oxidation must be done prior to making the piezoresistors. A thinner film is convenient to make efficient ion implantation (less energy and dosage required to get the same concentration [37]), but thicker film is better for passivation. In this paper, 40 nm was selected for the thickness of SiO2 to satisfy both requirements. From the experimental results, both piezoresistive sensor and PZT actuator could work as designed, which means the designed thickness of the SiO2 was effective for both elements.

Dynamic evaluation shows clear relationship between actuation voltage and displacement measured both by LDV and integrated piezoresistors. The displacement was well proportional to the actuation voltage. The non-linearity was about 0.75%.

Measured sensitivity was about 34.1% than predicted by analytical calculation, which was too simplified, and about 2.3% higher than the estimation derived from the FEA simulation. This is because the concentration of the impurity is not uniform as shown in figure 6, which means the piezoelectric coefficients have depth dependency. In addition, the stress applied to the piezoresistors also have depth dependency, with maximum value at the surface. For the estimations, average values were considered both for the impurity concentration and applied stress. When the output noise is assumed to be $4.5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ V/}\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$, which is the theoretical noise density from the resistor, noise equivalent displacement (NED) becomes 0.12 nm/\sqrt{Hz}, which is enough for the precise displacement detection. Through the experimental noise analysis (figure 10), the output noise including the noise from amplifiers was about $1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ V/}\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ and NED becomes 27 nm/\sqrt{Hz}.

6. Conclusion

We have developed a PZT thin film actuator monolithically integrated with buried piezoresistors successfully. This is the first time such a combination is reported in detail. A fabrication process was proposed, and the compatibility was confirmed by experiments. The fabricated device could be driven by the thin film PZT actuator and the displacement could be detected by the buried piezoresistors. These results can be used for high-stability piezoelectric MEMS actuators with feed-back control of position, especially for applications where is necessary to keep a static position. The non-linearity of the sensor was 0.75% and the noise density was 27 nm/\sqrt{Hz}.
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